

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,500	03/01/2002	Jeffrey W. Ronne	GP-301390	3019
7	590 06/23/2004		EXAM	INER
LAURA C. HARGITT			ROSENBERG, LAURA B	
General Motors Corporation Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 300			3616	
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/087,500	RONNE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laura B Rosenberg	3616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 March 2004 (RCE).						
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-11 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	٠, <u>١</u>					

· 医克朗克勒勒氏征 计连接操作性 1950年最后的 1954年 1964年 1

Application/Control Number: 10/087,500

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the RCE received on 26 March 2004, and the amendment received on 24 February 2004, in which claims 1, 7, and 10 were amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lubera et al. (2001/0046426 A1) in view of Tajima et al. (6,485,048). In regards to claims 1, 7, and 10, Lubera et al. disclose a snap-in air bag assembly (#304) for a vehicle (#300) having a roof rail, comprising an air bag module (#304) including an air bag inflator (#324) and an air bag (#336, not labeled in figure 15) with at least one cushion retention tab (#340), a snap-in clip (#10a) permanently attachable (via wing members #100 and flange #62) to a vehicle structure (#308) and selectively attachable (via #74) to the at least one cushion retention tab, the snap-in clip including a fastening portion (helical lip #72), and a removable serviceability attachment feature (fastener #74) for attaching the air bag module at the at least one cushion retention tab to the snap-in clip by fastening the serviceability attachment feature to the fastening portion of the snap-in clip (paragraph 0042, lines 1-4), and for selectively detaching the air bag module from the snap-in clip for removal of the air bag module for service by removing

Application/Control Number: 10/087,500

Art Unit: 3616

the serviceability attachment feature from the fastening portion of the snap-in clip (similar to use with headliner configuration; paragraph 0039, lines 5-10). The examiner notes that the Lubera et al. reference does not include drawings of the side-curtain air bag assembly that Lubera et al. disclose in the specification (paragraph 0041, lines 3-9), but one skilled in the art can deduce that in the side-curtain air bag configuration, the vehicle surface (#308) would be the roof rail. The examiner also notes that it is old and well known in the art that snap-in clips of the type disclosed by Lubera et al. are particularly advantageous for blind assembly processes, such as installation of a roof rail air bag from outside the vehicle. Lubera et al. disclose a side-curtain airbag assembly, rather than a roof rail airbag assembly. Tajima et al. teach a roof rail air bag assembly that is a side-curtain air bag assembly (can be seen in figures 1, 2). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time that the invention was made to modify the side-curtain air bag assembly of Lubera et al. such that it comprised a roof rail air bag assembly as claimed in view of the teachings of Tajima et al. so as to protect the upper body of vehicle occupants with an air bag that is conveniently disposed within a roof rail. The method of claim 7 reads on the apparatus described above (paragraph 0042).

In regards to claims 2-5, 8, 9, and 11, Lubera et al. do not disclose the specific features of the side-curtain air bag assembly. Tajima et al. teach a roof rail air bag assembly (#M1-M4) for a vehicle having a roof rail (#RR), comprising an air bag module (#M1-M4), an air bag inflator (#31), and an air bag (#23, 23A) with at least one cushion retention tab (#26, 28), an inflator bracket (#33) having an inflator support portion (#33a)

Application/Control Number: 10/087,500

Art Unit: 3616

supporting the air bag inflator (#32) and an attachment portion (#33c) having a mounting tab for receiving a bolt (#35; location of bolt similar to location of Lubera et al.'s serviceability attachment feature) and for capturing the at least one cushion retention tab (#26, 28) between the inflator bracket (#33) and a clip (#37; location of clip similar to location of Lubera et al.'s snap-in clip) prior to attaching the clip to the cushion retention tab (column 18, lines 23-51). Tajima et al. also teach an air bag cover (#27) protectingly covering the air bag and having a tear seam along one edge (any portion of the cover #27 can become a tear seam; column 9, lines 17-19), the cushion retention tab (#26, 28) extending through the air bag cover (bottom portion of #26; can be seen in figure 20) for at least partially supporting the air bag and the air bag cover. Further, Tajima et al. teach the mounting tab (#33c) of the inflator bracket (#33) being attached to the clip (#37) with the bolt (#35). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time that the invention was made to modify the roof rail air bag assembly of Lubera et al. such that it comprised an air bag inflator bracket having a support portion and an attachment portion, an air bag cover, and an inflator bracket mounting tab attached to the snap-in clip with the serviceability attachment feature as claimed in view of the teachings of Tajima et al. so as to properly secure an inflator to the roof rail of a vehicle (Tajima et al.: column 6, lines 47-49), so as to support the air bag in its folded state until inflation occurs (Tajima et al.: column 17, lines 49-53), and so as to detachably secure the inflator to the roof rail (column 6, line 65-column 7, line 4). In addition, the examiner notes that while the Lubera et al. reference does not disclose the details of the roof rail air bag assembly, such assemblies are old and well known in the art.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 7-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dickinson et al. disclose a snap-in clip permanently attachable to a vehicle surface and a removable serviceability attachment feature for removably attaching an air bag module to the snap-in clip.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura B Rosenberg whose telephone number is (703) 305-3135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (703) 308-2089. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,500

Art Unit: 3616

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LBR

Lama B. Rosenberg

PAUL N. DICKSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600